LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2016 Unaudited

Governmental Accounting Standards Board standards are national and apply to all governmental financial reports prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. When accounting for pension costs, GASB No. 27 focused on a funding approach. This approach limited pension costs to contributions annually required by law, which may or may not be sufficient to fully fund each plan's net pension liability. GASB No. 68 takes an earnings approach to pension accounting; however, the nature of Ohio's statewide pension systems and state law governing those systems requires additional explanation in order to properly understand the information presented in these statements.

Under the standards required by GASB No. 68, the net pension liability equals the County's proportionate share of each plan's collective:

- 1. Present value of estimated future pension benefits attributable to active and inactive employees' past service.
- 2. Minus plan assets available to pay these benefits.

GASB notes that pension obligations, whether funded or unfunded, are part of the "employment exchange" - that is, the employee is trading his or her labor in exchange for wages, benefits and the promise of a future pension. GASB noted that the unfunded portion of this pension promise is a present obligation of the County part of a bargained-for benefit to the employee, and should accordingly be reported by the County, as a liability since they received the benefit of the exchange. However, the County is not responsible for certain key factors affecting the balance of this liability. In Ohio, the employee shares the obligation of funding pension benefits with the employer. Both employer and employee contribution rates are capped by state statute. A change in these caps requires action of both Houses of the General Assembly, and approval of the Governor. Benefit provisions are also determined by State statute. The employee enters the employment exchange with the knowledge that the employer's promise is limited not by contract but by law. The employer enters the exchange also knowing that there is a specific, legal limit to its contribution to the pension system. In Ohio, there is no legal means to enforce the unfunded liability of the pension system as against the public employer. State law operates to mitigate/lessen the moral obligation of the public employer to the employee, because all parties enter the employment exchange with notice as to the law. The pension system is responsible for the administration of the plan.

Most long-term liabilities have set repayment schedules or, in the case of compensated absences (i.e. sick and vacation leave), are satisfied through paid time-off or termination payments. There is no repayment schedule for the net pension liability. As explained above, changes in pension benefits, contribution rates, and return on investments affect the balance of the net pension liability, but are outside the control of the County. In the event that contributions, investment returns and other changes are insufficient to keep up with required pension payments, state statute does not assign/identify the responsible party for the unfunded portion. Due to the unique nature of how the net pension liability is satisfied, this liability is separately identified within the long-term liability section of the statement of net position.

(")

米米米

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended December 31, 2016 Unaudited

In accordance with GASB No. 68, the County's statements prepared on an accrual basis of accounting include an annual pension expense for their proportionate share of each plan's *change* in net pension liability.

As a result of implementing GASB No. 68, the County is reporting a net pension liability and deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pension on the accrual basis of accounting.

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the County, assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$484,223,054 (\$246,022,990 in governmental activities and \$238,200,064 in business type activities) as of December 31, 2016.

A large portion of the County's net position \$330,179,505 (68 percent) reflect its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, building, infrastructure and machinery and equipment), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The County uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the County's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since capital assets themselves cannot be used to pay these liabilities.

An additional portion of net position, \$130,432,664 (27 percent), represent resources that are subject to external restriction on how they may be used. The remaining balance of \$23,610,885 (5 percent), of unrestricted net position may be used to meet the County's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.

As of December 31, 2016, the County is able to report positive balances in all three categories of net position as a whole, however, unrestricted governmental net position was negative due to the inclusion of the net pension liability. The County would have reported positive balances in all categories of net position in 2016 and 2015 without the inclusion of this liability.

Total assets increased \$18,102,183 which represented a 3 percent increase over 2015. Total assets of governmental activities increased \$12.3 million primarily due to an increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$15.0 million.

Total assets of business-type activities increased \$5.9 million primarily due to a \$5.3 million increase in capital assets.